

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Application No. 10/734,965</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Applicant(s) SALAZAR ET AL</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Examiner BAOQUOC N. TO</td> <td>Art Unit 2162</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/734,965	Applicant(s) SALAZAR ET AL	Examiner BAOQUOC N. TO	Art Unit 2162
Application No. 10/734,965	Applicant(s) SALAZAR ET AL				
Examiner BAOQUOC N. TO	Art Unit 2162				

All Participants:

(1) BAOQUOC N. TO

(2) Adam C. Underwood, Reg. No. 45,169

Date of Interview: 07/02/2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1, 9 and 17

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted applicant representative, Mr. Adam C. Underwood, Reg. No. 45,169 to discuss the claims 17 to fix 101 rejections and changing the words "if" to "when" to overcome the 112 issue. Adam agreed with the proposed amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Baoquoc N To/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)